



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Publications Reviewed

PUBLICATIONS REVIEWED

LIFE HISTORIES OF N. A. GULLS AND TERNS

BY A. C. BENT

The same painstaking care exercised in the preparation of the volume on the Alcidae by the same author is evident in this volume too. If one has Ridgway's Birds of North and Middle America for the purely technical matter and Bent's Life Histories in his library he has indeed all that is necessary to gain a complete knowledge of N. A. birds.

We are glad that the author is courageous and independent enough to voice his own personal opinions, whenever they differ from those of the A. O. U. Committee, based of course on weighty evidence and accurate comparison. Such cases for instance are his treatment of *Larus vegae*, which certainly is not a good species, perhaps not even a sub-species, of *Larus nelsoni* and *Larus barrovianus*. We have stated elsewhere years ago that the question is not always whether some of these alleged differences exist but *whether they are worthy of recognition in nomenclature*. Mr. Bent's views on the status of *Larus brachyrhynchus* have proven true, as the species has subsequently been shown to be only subspecifically distinct from *Larus canus*. His remarks on *Catharacta chilensis* and *Larus fuscus officinis* are likewise sound.

The photos are fine—the only disappointing one being that of the nest of the Ivory Gull, but perhaps it was the only one to be had. The colored plates are excellent. Altogether Mr. Bent's work is a mine of information and "a joy forever." He richly deserves the gratitude of all working ornithologists and more liberal treatment at the hands of the government in the way of paper stock and cover.

W. F. H.

BIRD BANDING BY MEANS OF SYSTEMATIC TRAPPING *

BY S. PRENTISS BALDWIN

Until Mr. Baldwin made his first report of progress at one of the A. O. U. meetings upon the work that he was doing in trapping and banding, some of us were becoming skeptical about whether the small returns from bird banding as it had been practiced up to that time was worth the cost in time and money. But here was a method which brought results. It opened a field for research which promised large returns, even if pursued independently, but pursued in coöperation with others promised results of inestimable value in the study not only of migration but also of features of the life history of the birds. Mr. Baldwin's banding operations occupied the summer and autumn months at Gates Mill, Ohio, and the late winter months at Thomasville, Georgia, for the years 1914 to 1918; but he is continuing this work at both places since this report was published. The writer has had